

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,853	01/17/2006	Naoki Yamada	062018	5123
38834 7590 06/01/2007 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER	
			WALKE, AMANDA C	
	SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/564,853	YAMADA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Amanda C. Walke	1752				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It is timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. INED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/output on Papers						
_	·		•				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) D Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirose et al (JP 2000-039709 in view of its English language abstract).

Hirose et al disclose a radiation sensitive resin composition, suitable for use as a material for forming a bump and for forming wiring. The radiation sensitive resin composition, contains (A) an alkali- soluble copolymer consisting of (a) constituent units derived from a radical polymerizable compound, having a carboxyl group, (b) constituent units derived from a radical polymerizable compound, having a phenolic hydroxyl group and (c) constituent units derived from other radical polymerizable compound, (B) a compound, having at least one ethylenically unsaturated, double bond and (C) a radiation radical polymerization. initiator. The reference teaches that its alkali fusibility copolymer has the following composition: the (A) component used for this invention (radiation-sensitive resin constituent) It is the copolymer (henceforth "an alkali fusibility copolymer (A)") which has alkali fusibility. (a) The radical polymerization nature compound which has a carboxyl group usually 1 - 50 % of the weight, desirable -- 5 - 40 % of the weight -- especially -- desirable -- 10-30 weight % -- (b) The radical polymerization nature compound which has a phenolic hydroxyl group usually 1 - 50 % of the weight, A radical polymerization nature compound besides 10 - 30 % of the weight and (c) can usually be

especially obtained 20 to 70% of the weight preferably five to 80% of the weight five to 40% of the weight by carrying out radical copolymerization of the 30 - 60 % of the weight in a solvent preferably especially. Given that the reference teaches that the composition may comprise 50% or more of a reactive polymer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of the reference choosing to employ the polymer in an amount falling within the claimed range.

Additionally, claims 6-8 are product by process claims.

M.P.E.P. § 2113:

"Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)... "The Patent Office bears a lesser burden proof in making out a case of prima facie obviousness for product-by-process claims because of their peculiar nature" than when a product is claimed in the conventional fashion. In re Fessman, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974). Once the Examiner provides a rationale tending to show that the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C. Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,853 Page 4

Art Unit: 1752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amanda C Walke Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

ACW May 28, 2007

AMANDA WALKE
PRIMARY EXAMINER